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Introduction
As a result of an aging world population, Alzheimer’s Disease (AD) and other neurodegenerative diseases are reaching epidemic proportions. Progression of AD can lead to deficits in independent decision-making capacity, which calls into question any impaired individual’s ability to make sound medical decisions. Studies have shown that actual neurolinguistic degeneration begins 20 years or more before symptoms arise, making it extremely difficult to ascertain when decisional capacity becomes compromised. However, decisional capacity is critical in obtaining informed consent and patient authorization for medical intervention since the patient must possess the capacity to comprehend relevant medical information and potential implications from the treatment before making an independent, voluntary decision. Thus, in both medical and research contexts, individuals with AD are considered a vulnerable population. Once cognitive impairments begin to affect executive functions, their capacity to provide consent are diminished and called into question. They also become increasingly vulnerable to coercion and influence from external perspectives, and because of this, it is all the more important to be able to assess decision-making capacity and safeguard the three principles of informed consent and patient authorization: autonomy, beneficence, and justice.

Aims
1. To identify themes that emerge when discussing medical agency in AD with different stakeholders.
2. To assess similarity and differences between stakeholder perspectives.

Methods
Participants: Six individuals were interviewed and answered semi-structured questions regarding their personal experiences with medical agency and decision-making capacity. For this case study, interviews were conducted with six individuals from a convenience sample. Background and demographics information was collected from participants during the interview process. One geriatric neurologist, one neuropsychologist researcher as well as two dyads of a caregiver and an impaired individual were recruited for this study.

Qualitative Assessment of Stakeholders: Four key topics with significant knowledge gaps were revealed from a thorough literature review: 1. examination and evaluation of medical agency. 2. assignment of surrogacy. 3. current cognitive exams. 4. communication with various stakeholders about medical agency.

Semi-structured interviews were conducted to better understand stakeholder experiences regarding these themes. Diversity in stakeholder backgrounds was prioritized to emphasize the value of varying perspectives. Interview transcripts were reviewed and annotated using the four topics of the original concept map.

Results

Fig. 1 Preliminary concept map of predicted themes and subthemes

Fig. 2 Final concept map of subthemes relevant to medical agency and decision-making capacity in AD populations

Updated Concept Map: Comparison of the annotations between each interview revealed numerous subthemes, which were recorded for further analysis. Interestingly, though many novel subthemes were identified (at least two new subthemes for each theme), many of the originally predicted concepts were also directly addressed in the interviews or integrated into other emerging subthemes.

Conclusion
Participant responses provided interesting insight into further unanswered questions and new potential themes to explore and research regarding medical agency and decision-making capacity in AD populations. The interview guides successfully addressed, either indirectly or directly, all four themes.

Amplifying voices & early provision of diagnosis:
- Advocating for a greater space for dyad voices
- Lack of conversations with dyads about medical agency
- Relevance of disability rights tenet: “nothing about us without us”

It was found that impacted individuals and their families were not being equipped with important information needed to make such big decisions. This can create tension and distrust between the stakeholders involved but can be easily remedied by placing a greater emphasis on notifying patients early enough with information regarding their diagnosis and prognosis to process through them and discuss with their families on how to move forward. Notifying individuals earlier of their diagnosis could help empower them by giving them the opportunity to make their own decisions without having their decision-making capacity questioned due to the potential onset of cognitive impairments. Because we often assign identity, value, and worth to our right to autonomy, taking medical agency away from someone can potentially interfere with their identity and diminish their sense of self worth.

Next Steps
Conducting a broader study with more participants will generate a greater collection of data. Then, a saturation point of relevant themes from a diverse participant pool can be achieved, consequently establishing greater confidence in study findings.

It is imperative to develop a deeper understanding of a diversity of stakeholder perspectives while also providing an opportunity for affected persons and their caregivers to learn about the importance on medical agency in their lives and introduce their viewpoint in the broader perspective to protect their individual right to autonomy and capacity to make their own decisions.
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